



***Barnegat Township
School District***

**BARNEGAT BOARD OF EDUCATION
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES**

**February 19, 2013
Tuesday, 6:30 PM**

Barnegat High School
180 Bengal Blvd.
Barnegat, NJ 08005

Our collective mission is to nurture and educate our children in accordance with all curriculum standards to prepare them for responsible citizenship and success in life.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Mrs. Olker: I would like to call the February 19, 2013 Barnegat Board of Education meeting to order.

II. OPEN PUBLIC MEETING ACT

The notice of this meeting has been forwarded to the Asbury Park Press, and placed in the foyer of the Barnegat High School, in the Barnegat Township Municipal Building and has been filed with the Barnegat Township Municipal Clerk, in conjunction with the Open Public Meeting Act N.J.S.A. 10:4-10.

III. ROLL CALL

Mrs. Olker: May I have a roll call please.

Mr. Cloke	Present
Mr. Mihalik	Present
Mrs. Pilovsky	Present
Mr. Caputo	Present
Mrs. Becker	Present
Mrs. Paulhus	Present
Mrs. Murphy	Present
Mrs. Sarno	Present
Mrs. Olker	Present

Also Present: Karen Wood, Superintendent
 Lourdes LaGuardia, Business Administrator/Board Secretary
 Ben Montenegro, Esq., Board Attorney

IV. FLAG SALUTE

Mrs. Olker: Would everyone please stand to salute the Flag.

All: Pledge of Allegiance

V. APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND/OR ADDITIONS

Mrs. Olker: May I have a motion to approve the agenda and any additions?

Mr. Caputo: So moved

Mrs. Sarno: Second

Mr. Cloke Yes
 Mr. Mihalik Yes
 Mrs. Pilovsky Yes
 Mr. Caputo Yes
 Mrs. Becker Yes
 Mrs. Paulhus Yes
 Mrs. Murphy Yes
 Mrs. Sarno Yes
 Mrs. Olker Yes

VI. STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE

Mrs. Olker: Our student representative is not well this evening so we will move to District Highlights.

VI. DISTRICT HIGHLIGHTS

Mrs. Wood: Our district enrollment as of February 13, 2013 is 3,234 students. We have so many wonderful pieces of information on the agenda I will go over them briefly because all of you have a copy in front of you however it is important to highlight some of the wonderful things happening here in Barnegat.

District Enrollment Numbers as of February 13, 2013

Cecil S. Collins Elementary School	381
Joseph T. Donahue Elementary School	290
Lillian M. Dunfee Elementary School	365
Robert L. Horbelt Elementary School	425
Russell O. Brackman Middle School	762
Barnegat High School	1011
District Total	3234

The first category is Brackman music, a category that is close to my heart.

The Russell O. Brackman Middle School Instrumental Music program auditioned for the All South Jersey Region III Junior High Honors Band at Southern Regional Middle School. Over 300 students from eight counties in New Jersey came to compete for a chair in this most prestigious Ensemble. The Brackman Music Department is proud to recognize three band members who were accepted.

The students are eighth graders **Grace Rochette, Adam Farber and Shane LeCompte**. They have worked very hard since the beginning of the year to prepare for the audition.

The students earned a seat in the All South Jersey Junior High Honors Band and will perform in a concert with some of New Jersey's finest student musicians at Fernwood Middle School on Sunday March 3rdth at 3:00 PM. Congratulations!! We're proud of you.

- ❑ On Thursday, January 10th, 2013, the Russell O. Brackman Middle School Jazz Band attended the Ventnor Middle School Jazz Festival in Ventnor, New Jersey. It one of the premier middle school jazz festivals in this state. I am very proud of our students for participating and learning alongside some wonderful music teachers as well as students.
- ❑ The Marching Bengals have been selected to play at a Lakewood Blue Claws game this year. They will be performing the National Anthem. They were selected from many bands for this opportunity. This is the first time an instrumental ensemble from Barnegat has been selected to perform the National Anthem! We are very proud of their efforts and recognition!
- ❑ *Heroes and Cool Kids* was formed at Barnegat High School during the 2011-2012 school year. The group is composed of junior and senior students (each participant is invited to join based on teacher recommendations) whose main goal, through involvement in the program, is to ultimately change the world. They have attempted to achieve this goal by becoming mentors and role-models to the sixth grade students at Russell O. Brackman Middle School.

Heroes and Cool Kids Mission Statement

"The goal of *Heroes & Cool Kids* is to promote pro-social behavior in students and to create a caring and disciplined school climate".

Congratulations to all of those students.

STUDENT PARTICIPANTS' NAMES	
Andrea Alleyne	Colleen Anderson
Mario Badro	Marlena Brazill
Grace Brescia	Stephanie Cotton
Maura Daley	Olivia Hogan
Brian Holmes	Tom Kampo
Matt Knierim	Stephanie Kostka
Rachel Maltais	Morgan Mitchell
Laura Nelson	Mike Nolan
Trevor Odgers	Anna Prestera
Sara Ridgway	Alison Salvatore
Eddie Santolla	Grant Scull
Kim Scully	John Sokol
Tori Sullivan	Taylor Teliszewski
Holly Walker	Garrett Waszkiewicz

Before we go into the presentation I just would also like to commend all of the students of the Tri-M Music Honor Society as well as the Thespian Society. I had the honor of speaking at their inductions last week on Wednesday. Congratulations to all of the students who were accepted into the Tri-M Music Honor Society and Thespian Honor Society. The highlights of the evening for me were the student performances. We are really blessed with some exceptional student performers who by the way are also academic achievers. So it is always nice to see well-rounded students coming out of Barnegat High School.

VII. PRESENTATION

Mrs. Olker: We have present this evening Valerie Moran from Johnson Controls and Steve Siegel from Spiezle Architects who will give us a project update on ESIP.

Mr. Siegel: Valerie Moran is here and we thought we would go through from start to finish. We thought we would talk about the whole process for anyone who had not heard this before. What the district was faced with and what our options were and where we are today.

Essentially we looked at the timeline and what the district's needs were, what options they had to address those needs and what kind of timeline we had to address everything and how it got done. What could we accomplish within an ESIP process and the budget and we will show savings at the end of the slide.

The first part we talked about were some lighting needs throughout the district; there were boiler upgrades, and water heaters. At Collins there were issues last summer so we addressed some of the HVAC concerns and the windows and roofing systems to try and get the high priority things done first. With that the first thing is how will we pay for it, what are options for paying for these repairs and upgrades? The first thing you look at is the referendum. The problems with a referendum is you go out and it increases the taxpayers impact and you take a bond and pay it off over a certain number of years and everyone's taxes go up slightly to cover those costs. The other option is a lease/purchase which is limited to the amount of time that you can do that, sometimes it is five years on some equipment. On solar and other things you can get up to fifteen years but again there is an impact to the budget by doing that. That is money that comes out of budgeted funds to pay for it. The other option that is more creative, Barnegat was one of the first districts to move forward with this. There is probably a dozen or so in the state that have been going with the ESIP route and Barnegat was one of the first successful ones completed and done per protocol. Bottom line with an ESIP you pay for all of these improvements out of your energy savings. There are a lot of calculations that go into the energy savings which we will go into in a minute. Basically, that is the option they chose for this project.

The basic requirements for ESIP are that it has to pay for itself out of the energy savings over fifteen years and no tax impact to the taxpayers. There is also a verification of savings plan that gets put into place. That is something that Johnson put together in the initial steps going through to determine what the savings would be and putting together a guarantee so if the savings are off they will pay out of pocket to cover the savings to make sure it does not affect the taxpayers.

The first process, or steps, in the ESIP is doing an energy audit which we did with our engineers, Spiezle Group. We put out the energy audit and had to solicit for an ESCO which is an energy servicing company like Johnson. We put out an RFP and give them the information on the projects and we got three companies to bid on it and Johnson was selected. The idea is that it is a design build, so then we still work for the district but we now work with Johnson who is the hired ESCO to develop designs and make sure all the district's needs are still being met while managing and working with Johnson to make sure the savings are being met. It is a back and forth thing; we are working for the district's benefit but trying to work in the savings and make sure the systems we are designing reach those savings. It is a turnkey project so at the end of the day Johnson finishes the project from the initial energy audits and savings plans that they put together through construction they manage it and afterward to guarantee savings.

This is a timeline showing where we started and Valerie will finish up as to where we are today. This started back in September, 2010 with the initial planning and a lot of surveys were done throughout the buildings, discussions with the administration to determine the high priorities and what things might fit into an ESIP project. The district was very adamant that they did not want to do a referendum and did not want a tax impact.

With that we put out the energy audits in January, 2011 and solicited the ESCOs in April, 2011, reviewed the ESCOs. We actually had the interviews for the ESCOs in August, 2011 when the district heard all three give presentation and then one was selected and in this case it was Johnson and they were awarded the contract in August, 2011. Valerie do you want to pick it up here?

Valerie Moran, Johnson Controls, I have been with this project since the inception and with Johnson for the past eight years strictly selling ESIP-type projects to K-12 districts throughout the state. As Steve said we were selected in August, 2012 and began our energy savings plan which is an all-encompassing list of any possible improvement measures that the district could realize out of this project. It is an all-encompassing look at lighting, building envelope issues, etc. We sat down with the district and developed a project that would pay for itself and it was very customized to meet all of the district's needs. There were obviously issues with Cecil Collins and we made sure that as part of this we really addressed those and I will get into the detailed scope issues down the line.

Barnegat was very special throughout this process because you were the second district in the state to be approved by the local finance board for the financing of this project which is a great accomplishment. It means that all of the processes were followed to the "T" for the state to be able to approve the funding of the project. In March of 2012 Johnson Controls went out to bid on behalf of the district for the sub-contracts for each of the measurers that were implemented. So Johnson Controls owns these contracts and we opened them at the Board office and advertised them just as if we were the public entity and we own them ourselves so there is no finger pointing between the district and a contractor, everyone just comes to Johnson. We closed on the financing at the end of May and we began construction. The construction period was basically from May through October. All of our installation as of now is complete. There are

final punch list items that we are working with but the district has started to realize the savings of the ESIP project.

This is an outline of the team that we worked with in depth throughout this process. Again, the Board of Education was very involved, the Finance and Buildings and Grounds Committees, our administrative team which was made up of Mr. Allison when he was here, Mrs. Wood and Steve and myself. You can see on the bottom you have Spiezle, our engineers were Barnickel Engineering and Harrison and Hamnett. All of our subcontractors report to Johnson Controls, your bond counsel was DeCotiis and your board attorney is Mr. Montenegro, who we worked closely with as well and Phoenix Financial was the financial advisors who developed all the amortization schedules and the payback of the bonds and made sure they were set up in a way so that they are paid once the savings is realized.

Some of the detailed project scope, obviously back to Cecil Collins which is where the bulk of our work was. We did controls upgrades, a lot of detailed engineering around the existing system so that what happened last year would not happen again in the future and we completely redesigned the HVAC system there. We also, because our bids came in so well, were able to add cooling to the gym which was a wish list item of the district and we were able to install that as part of this project.

Some of the other measures are consistent throughout the district. We had lighting upgrades, building envelope upgrades which is your weather stripping and we did windows at Collins as well. There were boiler replacements, water heater replacements, everything to make the building a little bit more comfortable for everybody. Here is a before picture of some of the boilers that were at Collins so you can see how old and inefficient they were and then the new, much smaller and more efficient boilers that were installed.

So the actual financing amount that was financed for the district was \$4.1M and we achieved the interest rate of 2.95 % and those savings are guaranteed. You can see the estimated \$5.2M savings over the total 15 years and those are guaranteed by Johnson Controls.

Again, the guaranteed savings over 15 years, no impact to the taxpayers and this was for the district size a very successful project.

Mr. Mihalik: Please tell the public how the guarantee works and what are your checks and balances?

Ms. Moran: Sure. We follow what is called the IPMVP performance measurement standards which are issued by the state. There is a measurement and verification guarantee standard the state has mandated that ESCOs and energy savings companies follow to make sure the guarantee is being met. There are three categories of savings that are allowable by law. One is energy savings, the second is operational savings and the third is the Capital Cost Avoidance. So a combination of those three savings we have verified with the district. We said by implementing these measures we are saving this dollar amount and over the next years our measurement and verification team will meet quarterly with the district and then produce an annual report stating where these savings are and how they are achieved per measure.

Ms. Wood: Thank you very much.

Mrs. Olker: Do we have any questions? Thank you.

VIII. SUPERINTENDENT'S MOTION/INFORMATION

Mrs. Olker: The next item on the agenda was Superintendent's Motions/Information. We will move that since Ms. Wood excused herself and we will move on to XI – Public Session.

Mrs. Olker: We are returning to Superintendent's Motions now. Mrs. Wood do you have anything for this?

Ms. Wood: I do not have anything tonight.

X. PRESIDENT'S REMARKS/INFORMATION

XI. PUBLIC SESSION

The Barnegat Township Board of Education appreciates and welcomes public comment, advice and suggestions especially when it is intended to assist/help the Board of Education. Please feel free to speak to the Board during the public session. Comments and discussion will be limited to one five (5) minutes period per individual unless requested by the chairperson to continue on a point of clarification. Public comment at special meetings of the Board shall be related to the call of the meeting. In accordance with the Board of Education Policy, each participant must be recognized by the presiding officer and must preface their comments by an announcement of their name, address and group affiliation, if appropriate. Your anticipated courtesy to the member of the public and the Board is appreciated.

Mrs. Olker: May I have a motion to open Public Session?

Mr. Caputo: So moved

Mrs. Becker: Second

Mrs. LaGuardia: All in favor?

All: Aye

Mrs. LaGuardia: Opposed?

Mrs. Olker: Would anyone like to address the Board?

Maria Perreira, 68 First Street: I have two questions. I understand we have an employee at the high school, Mr. Owens or am I mis-hearing from the students?

Ms. Wood: I do not know how much we can talk about an employee.

Mr. Montenegro: You can identify him as an employee. If we are headed into any discussion on performance or anything like that the Board could not do that.

Ms. Perreira: I just have not seen that mentioned on any of the Board Agendas at all and I thought any employee had to go through the Board before they are hired.

Ms. Wood: He was approved in January at the January Board of Education meeting.

Ms. Perreira: It was not on the agenda.

Ms. Wood: It was an Addendum to the Agenda.

Ms. Perreira: Is that posted on the website or just the Agenda?

Ms. Wood: That I could not answer without checking it. Should it have been, yes. It was read into public session at the conclusion of the January meeting.

Ms. Perreira: Thank you. One more thing, I see that I just got the article from the Leader about school security and safety and we are upgrading and that is wonderful and I appreciate that for our students. It says, Ms. Wood, that these drills that we have, fire and safety drills are mandated by the state. After I read that I took a look at the state mandates and it says that all schools are required by statute to hold one fire drill and one school safety drill per month. Somewhere else, it goes further to say these drills have to be held within the first fifteen days of the school year. I am curious as to why Brackman had their first security drill in January.

Ms. Wood: I can clarify some of that for you. The state classifies a state safety drill not only as a lock down drill. I think for many members of the public they think of a school safety drill as only a lock down drill or a shelter in place drill. The other drills that are acceptable under the school safety drill regulations are also a table-top drill which does not include an evacuation from school. A table-top drill is where administrators and key teachers and police sit and review their process and make sure it is appropriate to get students safely out of the building. I will also tell you that the school security drill and the fire drill regulation is a requirement by QSAC and the district is in compliance with all of those regulations.

Ms. Perreira: What scares me is that you had brand new sixth graders in the building since September who would have had no clue as what to do if there was a real Code Blue.

Ms. Wood: Not necessarily, part of the table top review and the other components of school safety requirements means that the students have to be informed. I do not have the school safety drill report in front of me so I really can't tell you which school did which drill on which day in September. I can tell you we have always been in compliance with the fifteen day rule and we were in compliance again this year. We have to send those drills to the Department of Education every month.

Ms. Perreira: So within the first fifteen days of school this year there was a fire drill in Brackman and there was some sort of school safety drill but it did not necessarily teach the new incoming students what they would need to do in case of an emergency.

Ms. Wood: No, that is not what I said. The school safety drill is categorized as one of about five or six drills, Code Blue, shelter in place, table top, and two others. There are several versions of what a school safety drill is. I think typically the public perceives the safety drill as a lock down drill which is ok, because it is common to misunderstand that but what the Office of School Preparedness and the DOE says we have to do is a school safety drill. It does not mean that they have not been evacuated, it just means it may have been done internally as per the regulations of the DOE without having the students standing outside which is a visual affirmation to the community that it was done. Do you understand the difference?

Ms. Perreira: I understand the difference, it just bothers me that the students who came into this building in September did not actually have that drill practice to physically practice what to do until January regardless of the state mandates and the drills and all of that, it just seems to be that they should have known where to go.

Ms. Wood: And they did not in your assessment because I don't have the school safety drill record in front of me so I cannot adequately answer that question.

Ms. Perreira: I know that Brackman did not have any sort of physical drill where the children get up from their seats and know where to go except for fire drills.

Ms. Wood: They could have done a shelter in place which is internal; do you understand what I mean?

Ms. Perreira: Yes.

Ms. Wood: You would not have necessary known what is happening but we were rehearsing in the school.

Ms. Perreira: And do you understand what my concern was for the students, that I feel that those students should have had a practice physical drill before January.

Ms. Wood: I appreciate your comments.

Ms. Perreira: In compliance or not if something had happened in November and students did not know where to go because they were in the hallway or cafeteria, that would not have helped that we had a table top drill and that was my concern.

Ms. Wood: I understand and I would like to thank you for bringing the Leader article. I have not had an opportunity to read it yet, but I hope you notice that some of the other measures we have put into place because security is very important to the district. I am glad you reflected on that.

Mrs. Olker: Anyone else like to address the Board?

Mr. Scott Sarno, 7 Herkimer Court: Question on the Agenda, #4 under Personnel, I see that you are moving two of the Vice Principals around is there any reasoning for that being done? I know we have a new Vice Principal at the Brackman School and now you are moving the other Vice Principal to the high school so now there is two new Vice Principals at the Brackman School. Is there any reasoning on that, if you can comment?

Ms. Wood: I respect the question without going into too much detail. What I can tell you is to correct one of your statements, Mr. Sarno, Mrs. Eberle is not a new Vice Principal, she is a returning Vice Principal.

Mr. Sarno: I said you will have two new Vice Principals at the Brackman School, new to the Brackman School.

Ms. Wood: My point is that to be honest the newest administrators on our team I think we have spread them across the district. For example, Ms. Johns is a new Vice Principal here at the high school and a new Vice Principal at the Brackman School is a gentleman named Matt LaGrou who came on at the end of January. When Mrs. Eberle comes back from maternity leave one of the reasons I recommended the change was so that we could spread our novice administrators across the district and have some consistency in the middle school and high school. Please keep in mind that all of our administrators are certified appropriately to be in those positions and another thing is that I cannot transfer anyone without permission. I want that to be clear to the public that I did not push anyone in any direction. The Superintendent can only transfer with permission. If I were changing someone from a Vice Principal to a teacher, that I could not do. I can't get into too much.

Mr. Sarno: I have heard great things about the other Vice Principal at the Brackman School, I was just curious. I was not going to comment on this but when the lady before me did, I am not sure how long you have been in town but I know the district for ten/eleven years ago when I first started getting involved with the Board and school safety in this district is one million and one-half times better now than five years ago so I am not questioning the administrators and Board's judgment on school safety because I think the improvements they have made are beyond what you could wish for in this district so I am not concerned there.

Another comment was, not that this was a school-sponsored event, my son and I ran in a race over on LBI this weekend and one of our district's teachers ran in the event and put it together and helped raise money for the firefighters on LBI and a few other charities on LBI to help the people over there. It was Ms. Aftanis from the Collins School. Not that everyone would know, but people from our district are doing good things outside of our school and should be acknowledged because they are caring people who are teaching our kids. Ms. Gibson was involved and made the medals and she works in the Collins School too.

Ms. Wood: Thank you for sharing that.

Mr. Sarno: The last issue I wanted to touch upon, I was looking on the NJSBA website and realized that the budgets have to be to the County Superintendent by March 7 for our district so that gives you about two or two and one-half weeks to come up with a budget and I tried looking around to see what expectations are going forward in the state and I am sure you cannot say much on this but I am hoping you have a sense of direction on where you are going and keeping taxes, I know that is a hard thing because I am sure state aid will not increase, but I am hoping the budget and taxes will be kept under control. The Board has done a pretty good job of that over the last few years and I just hope that continues with your process as you go forward.

Mrs. Olker: Anyone else?

Mr. Rafael Adorno, 441 East Bay Ave.: A couple of questions I have, congratulations to the new members and also to the two of you with the new roles. To begin my first question regarding Governance Committee motions with the policy 7510 for facility use. I got confused as to what section was being revised, could that be defined as to what is being revised or what is the purpose of it being looked at again.

Ms. Sarno: I do not have that policy in front of me but that deals with the classifications and we are fine tuning some of the wording in the 7510 policy whether it is “shall” or “may use” the facilities if they are students of our district. It was just changing some words. I don’t have the policy in front of me. Do you have it?

Mr. Adorno: Yes

Ms. Sarno: Wonderful.

Mr. Adorno: I do know the policy almost verbatim.

Ms. Sarno: You worked on it for three years as a former Board member.

Mr. Adorno: That is the concern that I have because that will lead me to a second question. Is this actually affecting a specific organization because I have heard through one or two organizations that have approached us that there is an issue and I only know so much about his issue but it may have been for his application for the facility use for youth basketball program. I am not sure why there is still an issue because it is as clear as day. If I can go and elaborate, from what I understand is that that youth organization is being told they are in a different classification. They are a not for profit organization and as I understand the policy if they are not recognized by the township recreation committee and not on there to be classified as a 2, they automatically go into a 3. Not necessarily true, I believe if you read the policy it says any other organizations, I believe classification 2. It would be the second sentence if you could read that I believe that clarifies why that organization should be permitted a class 2 and not class 3 with fees.

Ms. Sarno: You are saying where it says, “Class 2 Barnegat Township Youth organization based on non-profit organizations or other youth based local or national affiliated non-profit operating

exclusively for the benefit of the children, 75%, so you need to have at least 75% of that youth group being Barnegat children.

Mr. Adorno: The specific group that is requesting it, I want to state, I can't say 100% but 90% of the students are Barnegat residents. So, the only part I get concerned with is when I am out there at rec basketball I hear them discussing that they are having \$3,000 in fees assessed to them to be able to use our facilities but that is not accurate. They do fall under Class 2 under that second sentence so I am not sure why wording has to be changed or what actually has to be done. I was told that the secretary is making the necessary recommendations to how they fall into the criteria that concerns me. In the past the secretary for facilities use has told him directly that he does not understand what her explanation is as to why he has to pay the fees when the secretary should not be having any input whatsoever of policy. The facility use policy should come directly from the Board of Education or our Business Administrator.

Ms. Sarno: Yes and when we sat down with the Business Administrator and the Committee we were the ones making these changes and came up with our own changes not from the secretary.

Mr. Adorno: So, it is a first reading, does anyone know exactly what is proposed to be re-written or do I have to wait until the second reading?

Ms. Sarno: It states if you are looking under Group A it will have one and two and we changed in the second, we added the words or other youth-based local or nationally affiliated non-profit operating because between the first paragraph in number 2 and the second small paragraph of number 2 they were saying the same thing in different ways. There was no reason to say the same thing twice except to confuse the secretary who is trying to decide who is falling into what group so we were trying to make it a little easier for her. I will look into that.

Mr. Adorno: I have another question, I have not been at a few meetings recently and obviously it is an Executive Session legal issue but I just want to know the outcome on the filing for ethics charges with the Board of Education. Is that allowed to be discussed or do we have to wait until after Executive session, are you reopening to the public under New Business?

Mr. Montenegro: The first part of the question is that it depends on what you want to discuss. You can comment on anything you wish during the public session and we have not gone into Executive session yet to discuss or take any action regarding any matter for Executive session so you can make a comment as to a position or a statement but the board cannot respond.

Mr. Adorno: My assumption is looking at a legal issue in Executive session so I guess my comments in regard to the current matter that the Board of Education is under with the ethics charges being filed by a specific Board member. I was concerned as I had some time to look at our policy. Are anti-bullying, harassment and intimidation specific to children and school staff? I had difficulty looking up anything in policy for actual Board members. Do you plan on making any policy for that section, is that legal or are there statutes to following through the state?

Mr. Montenegro: It does not specifically address Board members so it would be a matter of first impression as it relates to implementing a policy that went beyond what the statute dictated or

the regulations dictated. That would be a matter of first impression as to whether the Board would want to extend it or whether it would be applicable at all to a Board member.

Mr. Adorno: That is a recommendation that I would like to see the Board of Education take to protect its members for the current situation you are under. Again, it is concerning because when you have someone who could be involved in some of the actions that took place it is uncomfortable, including myself as a former Board member, I am sure it is uncomfortable for some of you who are still here. I am just concerned that whatever is going to transpire that it continues to do so to set precedence. I am not able to elaborate much because I am partially involved in that, my final comment is if there is going to be any action taken we do understand there is a majority of people on this Board currently that would be in conflict or should not be involved in any of the action because they were not present during the time that some of these particular items transpired. So, I am just concerned that certain people do abstain themselves for any action.

Mrs. Olker: We understand, Mr. Adorno, but any sitting Board member is permitted to take part in any Board decision. Thank you for your comments.

Voice: Quick question, do you have a different Agenda than me because if something is going to be voted on it isn't on here.

Ms. Sarno: This is under Executive Session. He is referring to Page 13, executive discussion. I don't know if that is the one you have, but it is what the Board has.

Voice: It does not say you are voting on anything; he is saying anticipated vote on a legal matter.

Ms. Sarno: I don't know if it is stated on the public agenda.

Voice: I do not see it.

Mr. Adorno: I don't know if it is necessary or not, but Mr. Caputo actually told a resident in his community that this was happening tonight.

Mrs. Olker: Excuse me, Mr. Adorno, you are out of order. Anyone else?

Mr. Jake Taylor, 106 Newark Rd.: I came here to thank the Board members who attended the VFW presentation at town hall at the last meeting and especially the Superintendent. It was nice to see her there. I had different people from the township say it was good she was there; it does both the township and school district good for the community to see the participation we had with you. The members of the Post asked me to come in and thank you for coming.

That was all I was going to say but it seems there is more. On #5 under personnel is that a new job for stage crew design or is it a replacement.

Ms. Wood: That is not a new position, that is an existing position.

Mr. Taylor: So it is a replacement?

Ms. Wood: Yes, it is filling a vacancy.

Mr. Taylor: All of them have it there except that one and I was wondering why. My next question is to Mrs. Olker. You made a comment to one member of the Board that my finance question at the last meeting was a set-up can you explain yourself?

Mrs. Olker: No, I did not make that comment, I said I thought it was unusual that you had not been present for so many months and then presented yourself at the last meeting and had a question about one of the ESIP finance questions.

Mr. Taylor: I will enlighten you a little bit. I have been coming to these meeting for over thirty years and any time I saw an agenda and there was a finance matter on it, it is my money, I will ask the questions and ask why, when, where and how much and it is my tax money. The reason I don't come to the Board meetings, I skipped quite a few, it looks like I will have to come back, is because the Board was floating along pretty good and I get the Agenda whether I come or not and there was not much to object to. When I see a financial matter that I don't understand or I don't like or approve of I am going to question it from now, before or any time just so you know. Nobody has to set me up, I don't need any pushing or discouragement or encouragement to ask a question and any time there is something on here that I don't understand you will see Jake standing here.

Mrs. Olker: You certainly have the right to do that.

Mr. Taylor: I know I do and don't let anybody pull your strings too.

Mrs. Olker: Thank you. Are there any other questions? May I have a motion to close?

Mr. Caputo: So moved

Mr. Mihalik: Second

Mrs. LaGuardia: All in favor?

All: Aye

XII. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mrs. Olker: XII – Approval of the Minutes, may I have a motion to approve #1-#4?

Mr. Mihalik: So moved

Mr. Cloke: Second

Mrs. Olker: Do you have any questions?

Mrs. Pilovsky: Yes, on the Executive Minutes there is a correction because it states on the January 29 minutes that I arrived at 6:30 p.m. but I arrived at 6:10 p.m. I was in Executive Session #1. Please make that correction. I believe Mr. Cloke was not there. Mr. Cloke it says late for regular session but I was sitting here and everyone else came out. Mr. Cloke said he was here at 6:30 p.m. and not here for the first Executive Session or the last Executive Session.

Mrs. Olker: There is an error because you are listed as present for Executive Session #1 which you were not and you are listed absent for Executive Session #2 so we need to make that correction. Mrs. LaGuardia do you see those corrections?

Mrs. Pilovsky: Mr. Cloke was absent for the first Executive Session and on the minutes it states that I arrived at 6:30 p.m. when I came at 6:10 p.m. and was in Executive Session so I was not absent, I was here. That was on the regular minutes and Mr. Cloke was here at 6:30 p.m.

Mrs. LaGuardia: That is so noted.

Mrs. Pilovsky: Thank you.

Mr. Caputo: Could we make the motion to read as amended please.

Mrs. LaGuardia: Yes.

Mr. Cloke	Yes to #1, Yes to #2 with corrections; abstain on #3 and #4.
Mr. Mihalik	Abstain
Mrs. Pilovsky	Yes with the corrections, and make sure the executive minutes are for Tuesday, January 29, 2013 and not the 30 th .
Mrs. LaGuardia	So noted
Mr. Caputo	Yes
Mrs. Becker	Abstain on #2; yes to the rest
Mrs. Paulhus	Yes
Mrs. Murphy	Yes
Mrs. Sarno	Yes with the corrections
Mrs. Olker	Yes

1. Motion to approve the Executive Session Minutes from the regular (reorganization) meeting of January 4, 2013.
2. Motion to approve the Regular Minutes from the regular meeting of January 29, 2013.
3. Motion to approve the Executive Session Minutes from the regular meeting of January 29, 2013 – Session 1.
4. Motion to approve the Executive Sessions Minutes from the regular meeting of

January 29, 2013 – Session 2.

XIII. FINANCE COMMITTEE – MOTIONS:

Mrs. Olker: XIII – Finance Motions, may I have a motion to approve #1-#17?

Mr. Mihalik: So moved

Mr. Cloke: Second

Mrs. Olker: Are there any questions or discussion?

Mrs. Pilovsky: I have a question. We received the agenda but no finance committee minutes so when I went through the agenda I noticed that on the bills list my calculation was short \$35,000 so the bills list does not match the amount that is on here.

Mrs. Olker: Let's deal with that first.

Mrs. Pilovsky: The packet I received I totaled up the bills' list which is right here and my total for the two bills' list came to \$789,837.24 and the bills' list amount is over \$800K. I am short \$35,195.58.

Mrs. Olker: Did you call Mr. Mihalik who is the chair of Finance with that question?

Mrs. Pilovsky: I did send an email out with the question but I don't know if he had a chance to look at his email because he just got back from his trip.

Mrs. Olker: Did you question anyone else?

Mrs. Pilovsky: I did not make a phone call to Mrs. LaGuardia. My question is that I am short that and I wanted to know if anyone received a piece of paper that is missing from my package.

Mr. Caputo: We work on the basis of a committee and we were informed that the proper leadership is that if there is a question that it goes to the chair of the committee and if the answer is not given there it moves down to the Board president or Business Administrator with the Superintendent following up to get it resolved.

Mrs. Olker: Correct.

Mrs. Pilovsky: I know the policy; I know the procedures and I just want the Board to be informed that I did not receive it so maybe I just did not receive. I am asking one of my fellow board members if she got it, this is a brand new packet, and it is not in her packet so I did follow the procedure. I emailed the Board member like I said; he just came in from vacation so I was hoping somebody would have it.

Mr. Cloke: I did not get the minutes so I thought there was no minutes.

Mrs. Pilovsky: With the minutes I might have had an idea, but I did not get them.

Mr. Cloke: Is there any minutes?

Mrs. Olker: Mr. Mihalik, could you address that?

Mr. Mihalik: I thought I read my board minutes from the meeting.

Mr. Cloke: Did you sign them?

Mr. Mihalik: Yes I did. They were done immediately. The question is did they go out. We will rectify the situation.

Mrs. Olker: Any other discussion?

Mrs. LaGuardia: I would like to make a recommendation to amend the bills list to the noted amount of \$789,837.24.

Mrs. Olker: Based on?

Mrs. LaGuardia: Based on the bills list that is in your board packet.

Mrs. Pilovsky: Thank you, Lourdes; I was going to say that.

Mrs. Olker: We have the motion to approve; do we have a second with the new amount?

Mrs. Pilovsky: Second

Mr. Cloke	Abstain on #2-#8; yes to everything else
Mr. Mihalik	Yes
Mrs. Pilovsky	Yes on bills' list correction; abstain on #2-#8; yes to everything else.
Mr. Caputo	Abstain on PO #133684; and no on PO #133870; yes on everything else
Mrs. Pilovsky	Madam President, may I make a correction? I meant to say abstain on #1-#8 and yes to everything else.
Mrs. Becker	Abstain on #5 and #8; yes to the rest
Mrs. Paulhus	Yes
Mrs. Murphy	Yes
Mrs. Sarno	Yes with the correction
Mrs. Olker	Yes

1. Motion to approve February, 2013 bills list in the amount of \$825,032.82.
2. Motion to approve January, 2013 Total Payroll Expense in the amount of \$1,427,121.71.
3. Motion to approve the Monthly Report of the Treasurer (A-149) for December, 2012.

4. Motion to approve the Monthly Report of the Secretary (A-148) for December, 2012.
5. Motion to approve Transfer Report for the month of December, 2012.
6. Motion to approve the Monthly Report of the Secretary (A-148) for January, 2013.
7. Motion to approve the Monthly Report of the Treasurer (A-149) for January, 2013.
8. Motion to approve Transfer Report for the month of January, 2013.
9. Motion to approve the following Out-of-District placements:

NAME	PLACEMENT	TUITION	TRANSPORTATION
J.R.	Jackson Regional Day School	Prorated 2012-2013 - \$28,443.64	Prorated 2012-2013 -\$10,580

10. Motion to approve the following Homebound Instruction students:

<u>STUDENT</u>	<u>SCHOOL</u>	<u>K.W. Email to Board</u>	<u>DURATION</u>	<u>Approx. Cost</u>
J.L.*	BHS	1/23/13	1/24/13 – 1/30/13	\$370.00
A.M.*	BHS	1/23/13	1/28/13 – 2/1/13	\$444.00
A.A.*	BHS		1/30/13 – 2/5/13	\$370.00
F.M.*	BHS		1/29/13 – 2/4/13	\$370.00
J.W.*	BHS	1/25/13	2/1/13 – 2/7/13	\$148.00
E.P.	BHS	N/A	1/30/13 – 2/24/13	\$888.00
T.L.	BHS	N/A	Extend One Month to 2/25/13	\$740.00 (for additional month)
P.F.	BHS	N/A	Extend to 2/28/13 (began 10/12/12)	\$592 (for extension)

*Administrative Suspension

11. Motion to accept tuition-in funds from Brick Township School District for a homeless student, A.H., for the 2012-2013 school year for a total incoming tuition of \$13,540.00.
12. Motion to accept tuition-in funds from North Hunterdon Voorhees Regional School District for a DYFS placed student, S.F., from December 18, 2012 to June 30, 2013 for a total incoming tuition of \$8,696.30 prorated.
13. Motion to accept total allocation Title I and Title II funds in the amount of \$500,976.
14. Motion to accept IDEA FY 2013 funds in the following amounts:

Basic: \$759,622.00
Preschool: \$ 33,030.00

Final approval for the IDEA FY 2013 grant application was received via email on January 22, 2013 from the Department of Education.

15. Motion to approve Schoolwires software subscription three-year contract to expire on 6/30/2016 in the amount of \$14,025 per year.
16. Motion to accept the donation of \$2,500 from Big Lots to Barnegat High School and a donation of \$4,601.02 from the Barnegat High School Senior Class of 2012 which will be used to purchase picnic tables and benches to be placed in the courtyard by the cafeteria for a senior privilege come spring.
17. Motion to accept the donation of \$920.50 from Pinelands Regional Board of Education that represents one-half of the gate collected at the Thanksgiving Game at Pinelands to be used for Hurricane Sandy Relief in whatever manner Barnegat School District sees fit.

XIV. GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE – MOTIONS:

Mrs. Olker: Governance Motions – May I have a motion to approve #1-#3?

Mr. Caputo: So moved

Mrs. Sarno: Second

Mrs. Becker: May I have some clarification? On two of the motions, policy 7510, I go on Strauss Esmay to compare because these policies were moved forward without differentiation of what was being changed. In the past we asked that they be highlighted so we can easily distinguish what is being changed. Policy 7510 is currently on Strauss Esmay as approved saying it was approved with the notation of the February 2013 revised and it has not even been voted on for a first reading so I could not do the comparison. So, I would have the same question with what was being changed in that policy.

The other one on 0132, Executive Authority, I was able to distinguish the change. The additional language in the second part of the second paragraph and it says the changes made by district; can you tell me who suggested that language change? It says by district, it is either recommended, mandated or by district so my question is was that change an administrative suggestion because it is really kind of duplicitous language.

Ms. Wood: I thought the recommendation came from Strauss.

Mrs. Becker: On our agenda it says revised type is by district. That reference is supposed to be where it originated from not who drafted the original policy.

Mr. Montenegro: You are on 0132?

Mrs. Becker: Yes, the second half of the second paragraph is the additional language but it is confusing.

Mr. Montenegro: I am looking at my Agenda and it says type is recommended.

Mrs. Becker: I am sorry, this says by district under 7510 but 0132 says recommended but my question was is it being recommended by Strauss Esmay with that language change.

Ms. Wood: Yes.

Mrs. Becker: On 7510 my concern is that it is already on Strauss Esmay which we all log into which is only supposed to be adopted policies and it is on there as if it was approved so I can't even compare what the changes were.

Ms. Wood: Thank you for bringing that to my attention, I did not realize that Mrs. Becker.

Mrs. Pilovsky: Could we go back to the old way of striking through with a different color so we know what the difference is?

Ms. Wood: If it pleases the Board, the 7510 policy is a first reading and we can reflect with strike throughs for the full Board before the second reading.

Ms. Sarno: The change on 7510 came from Buildings and Grounds and it came to our meeting. So, we took a look at it and had Mrs. La Guardia come in and what we would do so the rest of the Board understood we would make a red line through and highlight to see changes so everyone understands what changed.

Mrs. Olker: The question was why did it change in the first place. It was always done that way and for this month it was not done this way and the question is why or who made the error so we have to look into that.

Mr. Cloke: Should we table it?

Ms. Sarno: You want to table 7510?

Mr. Cloke: Table it or remove to go back to Governance for more clarification.

Mr. Montenegro: It is up to the Board's discretion but it is only one for a first reading so you could get it clarified between now and second reading.

Ms. Sarno: No reason to table, it will come back for a second reading which will have clarification and then at that point you do not understand something we can table it and then it goes back to first reading again. There is nothing other than letting the rest of the Board see what changes have been made which we can do.

Mr. Cloke: I would like to table 7510.

Ms. Sarno: OK, but there will be no further discussion in Governance about it but we will make the corrections so the whole Board can see.

Mrs. Olker: Do we proceed with a motion to table it?

Mr. Montenegro: We have a motion and a second on \$1-#3 so we have to take action on that first. Are there any other requests for clarification? If not the motion and second should be voted upon.

Mr. Caputo: I think Mr. Cloke's comment is a good one, I think with it on the floor to be approved, it is just for a first reading but it will be rectified based on what the discussion has pertained to so that prior to the second reading that will be corrected.

Mrs. Olker: Now we need a roll call.

Mr. Cloke	No on #1, yes to rest
Mr. Mihalik	Yes
Mrs. Pilovsky	Abstain because I would like to see the documentation before we vote on it.
Mr. Caputo	Yes to all three
Mrs. Becker	Yes with the clarification for more information
Mrs. Paulhus	Yes
Mrs. Murphy	Abstain on #1; yes to the rest
Mrs. Sarno	Yes
Mrs. Olker	Yes

Mrs. LaGuardia: Motion passes.

1. Motion to approve the first reading of the following Policy:

	Policy #	Section	Title		Type
1	7510	Property	Use of School Facilities	Revised	By District

2. Motion to approve the second reading and adoption of the following Policies:

	Policy #	Section	Title		Type
1	0132	Bylaws	Executive Authority	Revised	Recommended
2	2468	Program	Independent Educational	New	Recommended

			Evaluations		
3	3230	Teaching Staff Members	Outside Activities	Revised	Recommended
4	3281	Teaching Staff Members	Inappropriate Staff Conduct	Revised	Recommended
5	4230	Support Staff Members	Outside Activities	Revised	Recommended
6	4281	Support Staff Members	Inappropriate Staff Conduct	Revised	Recommended
7	5411	Pupils	Promotion from Eighth Grade	Revised	By District
8	6113	Finances	E-Rate	New	Suggested
9	6163	Finances	Advertising on School Property	New	Suggested

3. Motion to approve the second reading and adoption of the following Regulations:

	Regulation #	Section	Title		Type
1.	R3230	Teaching Staff Members	Outside Activities	Revised	Recommended
2.	R3281	Teaching Staff Members	Inappropriate Staff Conduct	Revised	Recommended
3.	R4230	Support Staff Members	Outside Activities	Revised	Recommended
4.	R4281	Support Staff Members	Inappropriate Staff Conduct	Revised	Recommended

XV. EDUCATION COMMITTEE – MOTIONS:

Mrs. Olker: May I have a motion to approve Education Committee motions #1-#9?

Mrs. Sarno: So moved

Mrs. Becker: Second

Mrs. Olker: Any discussion or questions?

Mr. Cloke Yes

Mr. Mihalik Yes

Mrs. Pilovsky Abstain on #4; Yes on everything else. In the Minutes the list was not on there; we just received the workshop on the agenda.

Mr. Caputo Yes

Mrs. Becker Yes

Mrs. Paulhus Yes

Mrs. Murphy Yes

Mrs. Sarno Yes

Mrs. Olker Yes

1. Motion to approve the donation of *The World Book Encyclopedias* © 2011 from Ocean County Library.
2. Motion to approve the Math Curriculum Guides for Grades 3-5.
3. Motion to approve the following student teacher placement(s):

Student Teacher	Type of Placement	Date	School	Location/Subject	Interview Date	Interviewer
Chris Stammes	Four-Hour Observation	TBD by student & Teacher	OCC	K-5 SE	1/24/13	Mr. Chidiac

4. Motion to approve workshop requests as listed below:

Name	School	Workshop	Date	Location	Workshop Fee	Mileage	Tolls/Parking	Total
D. Majewski	CSCS	Autism Workshop	3/1/2013	Glassboro	\$139.00	\$44.95	\$4.90	\$188.85
P. Boldt	CST	Nat'l Social Workers Conf.	5/6/2013	AC	\$160.00	\$24.36	\$0.00	\$184.36
C. Bach	Dist	E-mail & Business Writing	6/10/2013	AC	\$199.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$199.00
K. Flood	JTDS	E-mail & Business Writing	6/10/2013	AC	\$199.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$199.00
B. Stanziano	Dist	E-mail & Business Writing	6/10/2013	AC	\$199.00	\$21.55	\$1.00	\$221.55
L. Lintner	RLHS	Using Six Trait Writing	3/11/2013	Cherry Hill	\$225.00	\$26.66	\$0.00	\$251.66

5. Motion to approve Continuing Education requests:

Name	Position/Location	Course
Mary Hrycenko	English Teacher @ BHS	Collaborative Practice to Inclusion
Melissa Smith	Spanish/ESL Teacher @ BHS	Educational Leadership Internship II

6. Motion to approve the following class trip requests:

- Barnegat High School Oceanography classes, Stone Harbor, NJ (May 15th) – at no cost to district.
- Barnegat High School Winter Guard Championships, Wildwood, NJ (May 2-5, 2013) – at no cost to district.

- Barnegat High School Fishing Club, Barnegat Light, NJ (October 13-14, 2013) – at no cost to district. Students would leave on a Sunday and return on a Monday afternoon.

7. Motion to approve Extended School Year (ESY) Program for Summer 2013:

- Location: Cecil S. Collins School
- Preschool Disabled – Grade 12: 4 weeks, 5 days per week
- July 1, 2013 – August 2, 2013 (off July 4, 2013)

8. Motion to approve the Advanced Placement Biology Course Audit (as approved by the College Board).

9. Motion to approve the Genocide/Holocaust Studies Class (1/2 year course for BHS) – only approving the course at this time – curriculum guide will be developed after formal BOE approval.

XVI. PERSONNEL COMMITTEE – MOTIONS:

Mrs. Olker: XVI – Personnel Committee motions, may I have a motion to approve #1-#6?

Mr. Caputo: So moved

Mrs. Becker: Second

Mr. Cloke: Yes on #1-#5; table #6F for discussion

Mrs. LaGuardia: May I have some clarification on that please.

Mr. Montenegro: It is the same as last time, there is a motion to approve as presented and Mr. Cloke has indicated a desire as part of the discussion to table #6F but unless that motion is withdrawn, action should be taken on the motion and second as presented.

Ms. Sarno: Let's go back and discuss #6F before going forward.

Mrs. Olker: Do you have questions on #6F.

Mr. Cloke: I would like to discuss it in Executive Session.

Mrs. Sarno: I will second that.

Mr. Caputo: Is that a motion to table #6F?

Mrs. Sarno: Motion to table #6F until Executive Session

Mrs. LaGuardia: All in favor?

All: Aye, except Mr. Caputo who abstained.

Mrs. LaGuardia: So we are taking a roll call for all items except #6f.

Mr. Cloke: Yes

Mr. Mihalik: Can I have a clarification on something? Do we do criminal background checks on all of our hires?

Ms. Wood: Yes

Mr. Mihalik: Why do we state on some of the employees criminal background checks and some we do not?

Ms. Wood: Only reason on agenda it is reflected pending criminal history background check is that we start the process after the personnel committee meeting and depending on whether that person was employed in another district prior to being employed here in Barnegat determines how quickly the criminal background information comes back from the state. So nobody ever starts in our district until they are cleared through the criminal history unit under the DOE. The reason this district has practiced this for quite some time is that if we had a vacancy and somebody was coming in March 1 and approved on February 1 if we did not include pending criminal history background check we might miss the approval of them coming on board. What it means is if they do not get approved until March 15 we won't start them because we cannot by law. If they are approved February 28 we can start them because the agenda motion says March 1 or later and they completed the criminal history background check.

Mr. Mihalik Yes

Mrs. Pilovsky: Just a quick question on #1c, do we know the school the nurse is going to or is she a floater?

Ms. Wood: That was filling a resignation. I believe it was a floating position.

Mrs. Pilovsky: Yes, normally it says the school and it is not there. Thank you.

Mr. Caputo Yes

Mrs. Becker Abstain on #5; Yes to the rest

Mrs. Paulhus Yes

Mrs. Murphy Abstain on #3A; Yes to the rest

Mrs. Sarno Yes

Mrs. Olker Yes

1. Approve the following personnel for the 2012-2013 SY
 - a. Maryanne Pastore – LTS – 5th Grade Teacher @ JTDS (B)
Certification: Elementary School Teacher; Standard

- Salary/Step - \$46,679/1MA (pro-rated)
 Effective – 3/11/13 – 6/30/13 (pending criminal history background check)
 Justification – filling MGH maternity leave
 Acct #11120-100-101-01 Ext 11363
- b. Amanda Fertig – LTS – Guidance Counselor @ JTDS (B)
 Certification – School Counselor – Standard
 Salary/Step – \$100/per diem
 Effective – 4/22/13 – 6/30/13
 Justification – filling KD maternity leave
 Acct #11-000-218-104-01 Ext 11224
- c. Melissa DellaPietro – PT Registered Nurse (B)
 Salary/Step - \$24,168/Step 1 (pro-rated)
 Effective – 2/20/13 – 6/30/13 (pending criminal history background check)
 Justification – replacing LN resignation
 Acct#11-000-213-100-01 Ext 11216
- d. Brian Fitzpatrick – ROBMS – LTS – Math Teacher (B)
 Certification – Elementary School Tchr with Mathematics Specialization:
 in Grades 5-8; CEAS
 Elementary School Teacher in Grades K-5; CEAS
 Teacher of Students with Disabilities; CEAS
 Salary/Step - \$100 /per diem
 Effective – 2/20/13 – 4/3/13 (pending criminal history background check)
 Justification – filling LO leave of absence
 Acct #11-130-100-01 Ext 11366
- e. Katherine Konidaris – CSCS – Classroom Aide (B)
 Salary/Step - \$15,478/Step 1 (pro-rated)
 Effective – 2/20/13 – 6/30/13 (pending criminal history background check)
 Justification – replacing NM transfer
 Acct #11-204-100-106-01 Ext 11392
- f. Tiffany Egbert – LMDS – Classroom Aide (NB)
 Salary/Step - \$15,478/Step 1 (pro-rated)
 Effective – 2/20/13 – 6/30/13 (pending criminal history background check)
 Justification – IEP requirement
 Acct #11-204-100-106-01 Ext 11392
- g. Christine Ayers – BHS – LTS Guidance Counselor (B)
 Certification – School Counselor; Standard
 Salary/Step - \$46,679/Step 1MA (pro-rated)
 Effective Date – 3/19/13 – 6/30/13
 Justification – filling TP maternity leave
 Acct #11-000-218-104-01 Ext 11224

- h. Samantha Arillo – Teacher of Language Arts Grade 6 @ ROBMS (B)
 Certification: Teacher of English; Provisional
 Salary/Step - \$44,200/Step 1 (pro-rated)
 Effective – 3/1/13 – 6/30/13
 Justification – filling MD retirement
 Acct #11-130-100-101-01 Ext 11366

- i. Lauren Rollis – Teacher of Language Arts Grade 8 @ ROBMS (B)
 Certification – Teacher of English; Standard
 Salary/Step - \$52,923/Step 6MA (pro-rated)
 Effective – 4/2/13 – 6/30/13
 Justification – filling KP retirement
 Acct#11-130-100-101-01 Ext 11366

- 2. Approve transfer MS Assistant Softball Coach to HS Assistant Softball Coach for the 2012-2013 SY (B)
 Justification – filling a vacancy
 - a. Dennis Smith - \$4227/Step 2

- 3. Approve the following transfers for the remainder of the 2012-2013 SY
 - a. Jill Sidote – PT Aide from JTDS to BHS
 - b. Kristen Askins – PT Aide from JTDS to LMDS

- 4. Approve the following Administrative transfers effective 3/1/13 – 6/30/13
 - a. Tiffany Eberle, Vice Principal – BHS to ROBMS
 - b. Josh Toddings, Vice Principal – ROBMS to BHS

- 5. Approve hiring the following personnel for the BHS afterschool activity for the 2012-2013 SY (B)
 - a. Thomas Lowe – Tech Crew/Stage Crew and Set Design - \$1675

- 6. Approve hiring the following substitutes for the 2012-2013 SY
 - a. Linda Donovan- certified teacher
 - b. Christine Rooney - certified teacher
 - c. Kelly Johnson - certified teacher
 - d. Kayla Sandstedt – certified teacher
 - e. Donna Walsh – certified teacher
 - f. Michael SanGiacomo – sub custodian

XVII. PERSONNEL COMMITTEE – INFORMATION:

1. Notification of revised medical leave:
 - a. Lesley Dunham Odgers – 7th Grade Math Teacher
2/19/13 – 4/2/13
4/3/13 – tentative return to work

XVIII. EXECUTIVE SESSION RESOLUTION

Request a resolution for Executive Session at this point in the meeting of the Barnegat Township Board of Education on February 19, 2013 for the purpose of discussing confidential student information, personnel items, contracts and/or litigation.

WHEREAS, Section 8 of the Open Public Meeting Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-12 permits the exclusion of the public from a meeting in certain circumstances and

WHEREAS, this public body is of the opinion that such circumstances exist.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Education of the Township of Barnegat in the County of Ocean and State of New Jersey, as follows:

The public shall be excluded from discussion on the actions upon the hereinafter specified subject matter(s): student information, personnel/contracts, and/or litigation.

The general nature of the subject matter(s) to be discussed is listed under Executive Discussion.

It is anticipated at this time that the subject matters will be made public, if and when, confidentiality is no longer needed. Action may be taken.

This resolution shall become effective immediately.

XIX. EXECUTIVE DISCUSSION

Mrs. Olker: May I have a motion to go into Executive Session to discuss personnel and litigation matters?

Mr. Caputo: So moved

Mrs. Sarno: Second

Mr. Cloke	Yes
Mr. Mihalik	Yes
Mrs. Pilovsky	Yes
Mr. Caputo	Yes
Mrs. Becker	Yes

Mrs. Paulhus	Yes
Mrs. Murphy	Yes
Mrs. Sarno	Yes
Mrs. Olker	Yes

XX. CALL TO ORDER

Mrs. Olker: I would like to call this meeting back to order.

XXI. ROLL CALL

Mr. Cloke	Present
Mr. Mihalik	Present
Mrs. Pilovsky	Present
Mr. Caputo	Present
Mrs. Becker	Present
Mrs. Paulhus	Present
Mrs. Murphy	Present
Mrs. Sarno	Present
Mrs. Olker	Present

XXII. OLD BUSINESS

Mrs. Olker: Do we have any Old Business? Seeing none, we will move to New Business.

XXIII. NEW BUSINESS

Mrs. LaGuardia: Motion to approve long-term suspension for student J.W.

Mr. Caputo: So moved

Mr. Cloke: Second

Mr. Cloke:	Yes
Mr. Mihalik	Yes
Mrs. Pilovsky	Yes
Mr. Caputo	Yes
Mrs. Becker	Yes
Mrs. Paulhus	Yes
Mrs. Murphy	Yes
Mrs. Sarno	Yes
Mrs. Olker	Yes

Mrs. Olker: Motion to approve the HIB incidents' report, as per Policy 5512, Harassment, Intimidation and Bullying, as presented by the superintendent during executive session.

Mrs. Becker: So moved

Mr. Cloke: Second

Mr. Cloke	Yes
Mr. Mihalik	Yes
Mrs. Pilovsky	Yes
Mr. Caputo	Yes
Mrs. Becker	Yes
Mrs. Paulhus	Yes
Mrs. Murphy	Yes
Mrs. Sarno	Yes
Mrs. Olker	Yes

XXIV. ADJOURNMENT

Mrs. Olker: May I have a motion to adjourn this meeting at 11:13 p.m.

Mrs. Sarno: So moved

Mrs. Becker: Second

Mrs. LaGuardia: All in favor

All: Aye

Respectfully submitted,

Lourdes LaGuardia, Business Administrator/Board Secretary

